

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Corporation and another. Judgment for plaintiffs, and defendants bring error. Affirmed.

J. Sydney Smith, for plaintiffs in error.

Thorp & Bowden, for defendants in error.

EUBANK v. CITY OF RICHMOND.

March 10, 1910. [67 S. E. 376.]

1. Constitutional Law (§ 81*)—Police Power.—The Legislature in the exercise of its police power may place limitations upon personal and property rights for the promotion of the public health, morals, and safety.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Constitutional Law, Cent. Dig. § 148; Dec. Dig. § 81.* 3 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 225, et seq.; also 14 id. 237.]

2. Municipal Corporations (§ 601*)—Public Improvements—Building Regulations—Validity of Statutes.—Act Gen. Assem. March 14, 1908 (Acts 1908, pp. 623, 624), authorizing cities to make building regulations, and, in their discretion, in particular districts or streets, to establish building lines or require owners to leave a part of the lots free from buildings, and to regulate the height of buildings, etc., is a valid police regulation in the interest of the public health, safety, comfort, and convenience, or some of those objects, and was not unconstitutional.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Municipal Corporations, Cent. Dig. § 1333; Dec. Dig. § 601.* 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 179.]

3. Constitutional Law (§ 63*)—Delegation of Legislative Power—Delegation to Street Committee.—An ordinance providing that, whenever two-thirds of the abutting property owners request the committee on streets to establish a building line on the side of the square on which their property fronts, the committee shall establish such line not less than five nor more than 30 feet from the street line, and no building permit shall be issued for the construction of houses within such limit, did not delegate legislative functions to the street committee, it having no discretion as to whether a street line shall be established, but only in fixing the line within the prescribed limits.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Constitutional Law, Dec. Dig. § 63.* 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 170, et seq.]

Error to Hustings Court of Richmond.

J. E. Eubank was convicted of violating a building ordinance, and he brings error. Affirmed.

S. S. P. Patterson, for plaintiff in error.

H. R. Pollard and Gco. Wayne Anderson, for defendant in error.

^{*}For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. and Am. Digs. 1907 to date, and Reporter Indexes.